Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: JARRELL MIDDLE Campus ID: 246907041 District Name: JARRELL ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

STAAR Percent A					African American Pory Standa		White		Asian	Pacific Islander				ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 6																	
Reading	2016 68 2015 73			64% 69%	*	57% 62%	76% 74%	-	*	-	56% *	*	53% 68%	33% 53%	66% 67%	62% 72%	-
Mathematics	2016 77 2015 72			54% 64%	*	48% 48%	67% 79%	-	*	-	56% *	* 36%	45% 57%	* 40%	45% 64%	62% 64%	-
Grade 7																	
Reading	2016 69 2015 72			73% 69%	83%	62% 71%	85% 68%	-	*	-	*	*	66% 65%	50% *	71% 73%	76% 67%	*
Mathematics	2016 68 2015 68			52% 58%	*	44% 58%	63% 59%	-	-	-	-	*	42% 43%	35%	53% 61%	50% 55%	- *
Writing	2016 68 2015 69			65% 70%	*	60% 71%	69% 71%	- -	*	-	*	*	61% 63%	56% 56%	71% 76%	57% 65%	- *
Grade 8																	
Reading	2016 85 2015 84			91% 89%	*	91% 86%	90% 92%	-	- *	-	* 100%	*	87% 87%	*	91% 94%	90% 84%	*
Mathematics	2016 80 2015 7			79% 84%	*	76% 84%	80% 83%	-	*	-	* 100%	*	66% 82%	*	85% 87%	72% 81%	*
Science	2016 73 2015 67			69% 68%	*	61% 64%	75% 68%	-	- *	-	* 86%	*	57% 64%	*	67% 64%	71% 71%	*
Social Studies	2016 62 2015 61			57% 72%	*	50% 64%	65% 76%	-	- *	-	* 100%	*	41% 68%	*	49% 76%	65% 67%	*
End of Course Algebra I	2016 76 2015 77			100% 100%	- -	100%	100% 100%	- -	- *	- -	- *	- -	100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 74 2015 73			68% 73%	61% 69%	62% 69%	76% 75%	-	100% 100%	-	68% 91%	28% 40%	58% 68%	38% 40%	68% 75%	69% 71%	*
Reading	2016 72 2015 74			76% 76%	77% 80%	70% 74%	84% 78%	-	*	-	64% 89%	27% 34%		43% 48%	76% 79%	76% 74%	*
Mathematics	2016 75 2015 73			65% 72%	46% 60%	58% 67%	74% 76%	-	*	-	64% 100%	27% 41%		33% 38%	65% 74%	65% 70%	*
Writing	2016 68 2015 68			65% 70%	*	60% 71%	69% 71%	-	* -	-	*	*		56% 56%	71% 76%	57% 65%	- *
Science	2016 77 2015 75			69% 68%	*	61% 64%	75% 68%	-	- *	-	* 86%	*	57% 64%	*	67% 64%	71% 71%	*
Social Studies	2016 76 2015 74			57% 72%	*	50% 64%	65% 76%	-	- *	-	* 100%	*	41% 68%	*	49% 76%	65% 67%	*
STAAR Percent a	t Final Le	evel II c	or Abov	/e													
				-													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 42 2015 38			27% 30%	32% 24%	20% 23%	33% 35%	-	80% 67%	-	32% 67%	7% 8%	20% 26%	4% 14%	29% 30%	24% 31%	*

		State	District	Campus A	African America		ic White	Ameri India					Specia	al Econ Disad	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Reading	2016 2015		34% 37%	33% 36%	46% 40%	25% 25%	40% 42%	-		*	-	36% 78%	12% 7%	24% 32%	8% 14%	35% 36%	31% 36%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		26% 26%	20% 31%	23% 20%	15% 25%	26% 34%	-		*	-	18% 67%	6% 3%	16% 25%	0% 17%	20% 32%	21% 30%	*
Writing	2016 2015		35% 25%	35% 33%	*	22% 26%	46% 40%	-		*	-	*	*	22% 26%	6% 22%	44% 39%	24% 27%	- *
Science	2016 2015		37% 33%	26% 17%	*	23% 12%	29% 18%	-		- *	-	* 57%	*	20% 13%	*	31% 14%	22% 20%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		40% 42%	18% 25%	*	14% 22%	23% 21%	-		- *	-	* 71%	*	15% 23%	*	20% 20%	16% 31%	* -
STAAR Percent a	t Leve	I III Ad	vanced															
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		8% 9%	8% 9%	8% 7%	5% 6%	10% 11%	-		20% 33%	-	8% 27%	2% 6%	4% 9%	1% 3%	9% 10%	6% 8%	*
Reading	2016 2015		9% 11%	12% 15%	23% 20%	9% 10%	12% 17%	-		*	-	18% 56%	0% 7%	6% 15%	3% 3%	16% 17%	7% 14%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		7% 6%	4% 6%	0% 0%	1% 5%	8% 6%	-		*	-	0% 0%	3% 3%	1% 4%	0% 3%	3% 7%	5% 4%	*
Writing	2016 2015		8% 3%	8% 5%	*	4% 0%	15% 8%	-		*	-	*	*	5% 2%	0% 0%	11% 8%	5% 2%	- *
Science	2016 2015		9% 9%	8% 7%	*	5% 4%	13% 5%	-		- *	-	* 43%	*	4% 9%	*	9% 6%	8% 8%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		11% 19%	7% 9%	*	7% 6%	8% 13%	-		- *	-	* 14%	*	4% 9%	*	9% 8%	6% 10%	*
STAAR Participat	tion (A	II Grac	les)															
All Tests		201 201				100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	-	100% 100%					00% 00%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%
Reading		201 201			100% 99%	100% 100%		100% 98%	-	* 100%			100% 100%	100% 1 100% 1		100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%
Mathematics		201 201				100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 98%	- -	* 100%					00% 00%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%
Writing		201 201			100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	- -	*	- - 1				00% 00%		100% 100%	- 100%
Science		201 201				* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	- 100%	- - 1						100% 100%	*
Social Studies		201 201			100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	- 100%	- - 1		100% 100%			100% 100%	100% 100%	*
STAAR Participat	tion Re	sults	by Asse	essment 1	Type for	Student	s Serve	d in Spe	ecial	l Educa	tion Se	ttings (All Gra	des)				
Reading Tests % of Participants		No.	2016	98% 99	% 100°	% *	100%	100%	-	-	-	100	% 100	% 100%	5 100°	% 100°	6 100	% -
% STAAR/EOC		10	2016	13% 17	% 6%	*	0%	17%	-	-	-	0%	6%	6 5%	0%	8%	5%	, -
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR Alter % of Non-Partici	nate2			73% 77 11% 5% 2% 1%	% 3%	*	100% 0% 0%	75% 8% 0%	- - -	-	-	100° 0% 0%	3%	6 5%	100° 0% 0%	0%	5 90% 5% 0%	, o -
Mathematics Tests % of Participants	})% 100 9		100%	100%	_	-	_		% 100				6 100	
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR/EOC	With N	No	2016	12% 22	% 3%	*	0%	8%	-	-	-	0%	3%	6 0%	0%	8%	0%	, -

Accommodations	2016	75%	72%	94%	*	100%	83%	-	-	-	100%	94%	95%	100%	92%	95%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	6%	3%	*	0%	8%	-	-	-	0%	3%	5%	0%	0%	5%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Student	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander				ELL I(Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 / ₀	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 /6	00 /6	00 /6	00 / ₀	N	00 % N		4	6	67
Mathematics	Ý		Ň	Ϋ́					Ň	N	N		2	6	33
Writing	Ý		Ϋ́	Ϋ́					Ϋ́	14	14		4	4	100
Science	Ý		Ý	Ϋ́					Ň				3	4	75
Social Studies	Ņ		Ņ	Ý					N				1	4	25
Total	.,		.,	•					.,				14	24	58
Performance Status - Federa	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	Ν	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Total													12	12	100
Federal Graduation Status (Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***	(Target: S	ee Reason (Codes)										0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits Reading	on Altern	ative Asses	sments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													26	36	72

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	206	9	91	98	-	*	-	**	102	9	19	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	270	12	129	117	-	*	-	**	149	33	39	37
% at Level II Satisfactory	76%	75%	71%	84%	-	*	-	60%	68%	27%	49%	n/a
Standard												

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{?&#}x27; Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	175	**	75	87	-	*	-	6	78	9	16	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	270	**	129	117	-	*	-	10	149	33	39	37
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	42%	58%	74%	-	*	-	60%	52%	27%	41%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	59	*	27	27	-	*	-	*	35	*	11	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	91	*	45	39	-	*	-	*	57	*	17	16
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	*	60%	69%	-	*	-	*	61%	*	65%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	62	*	**	33	-	-	-	-	24	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	90	*	**	45	-	-	-	-	43	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	*	64%	73%	-	-	-	-	56%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	50	*	**	28	-	-	-	-	17	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	90	*	**	45	-	-	-	-	43	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	56%	*	50%	62%	-	-	-	-	40%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme		40	400	400		*		**	450	0.0	,	40
Number Participating	284	13	138	120	-	*	-	**	156	33	n/a	40
Total Students	284	13	138	120	-	*	-		156	33	n/a	40
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse		12	120	120		*		**	156	22	2/2	40
Number Participating	284	13	138	120	-	*	-	**	156	33	n/a	40
Total Students	284	13	138	120	-	*	-		156	33	n/a	40
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	•	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates											(=::::,	(,
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	14								
Number Graduated	-	` - <i>`</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	` - <i>´</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	17.4	73.6%	76.4%	74.7%
Masters	5.7	23.9%	21.4%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.6	2.5%	2.2%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		14	3	17
Total Number of Classes		68	4	72
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	68	4	72
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

----- Number of Teachers ------

	Nu Erlear of T	Teachecrondary-
	(PK-6)	(7-1 2)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ó	` Ó
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	Elem0	secondary0
Non-renewable	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Temporary Classroom Assignment	Ó	Ú
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	er of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	0	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment